

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)
	10/653,783	BRANDNER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert B. Davis	1722	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(3) _____.

(2) Matthew Schmidt.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 June 2006

Time: 14:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Proposed rejection over Japanese reference 60-49919 A.

Claims discussed:

1, 6-8, 10, 15, 16 and 18-23.

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 60-49919 A.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Schmidt to suggest the cancellation of claims 1, 6-8, 10, 15, 16 and 18-23 to further the case to issue. The examiner explained that the Japanese reference clearly illustrates a blow mold having a blow core (11), a pair of pinch plates (19), wherein the blow core extends into the mold cavity as illustrated in figure 3a, the core then removed (figure 3b) and then the pinch plates are closed (figure 3c) to close an opening formed during the blowing step in figure 3a. The examiner suggested canceling the listed claims to avoid the withdrawal from issue of the application because of the newly cited reference. Mr. Schmidt agreed to the cancelation of the listed claims. It was agreed that the claim listing would be prepared by examiner's amendment.